Shower fine structure

Digging Depper 3D Substructure - Particle Tracks ر (cm) <mark>م</mark> 90-80-70-60-50-40-30-Beam 20-25 GeV π 10-9080 1 Control 605040 302010 0 0 ECAL upstream 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 SDHCAL Layer

- Could have had the same global parameters with "clouds" or "trees"
- Powerful tool to check models
- Surprisingly good agreement already - for more recent models





Shower fine structure



- Could have had the same global parameters with "clouds" or "trees"
- Powerful tool to check models
- Surprisingly good agreement already - for more recent models





PFLOW with test beam data



- The "double-track resolution" of an imaging calorimeter
- Small occupancy: use of event mixing technique possible
- Study degradation if second particle comes closer
- Important: agreement data simulation

JINST 6 (2011) P07005



What we learnt

- The novel ECAL and HCAL technologies work as expected
 - Si W ECAL and Sci Fe AHCAL analysis nearly complete
 - Analysis of the more recent tests has just begun still a huge potential
- The detector simulations are verified with electromagnetic data.
- The hadronic performance is as expected, including software compensation.
- The Geant 4 shower models reproduce the data with few % accuracy.
- Shower substructure can be resolved and is also reproduced by shower simulations.
- Particle flow algorithms are validated with test beam data.



Hadron collider frontier: CMS

- CMS decided for a high granularity option of their endcap calorimeter upgrade
 - EM: Si Pb/Cu
 - 35 layers, 25 X0
 - HAD: Si brass
 - 12 layers, 5 λ
 - 600 m² of Si, 0.5 1 cm²
 - Backing: 5 λ brass, scint or gas
- particle ID, pile-up subtraction, ..., particle flow
- Much more challenging than e+e-
 - radiation hardness
 - cooling of sensors
 - rate capability of electronics
 - no power pulsing









ATLAS: High granularity timing

- In ATLAS phase II upgrade reference scenario, TDR 2017
- pile-up and noise mitigation
 - vertex by E deposition timing
- More forward, more challenging environment than CMS HGCAL
- 4-5 layers
- standard or LGAD sensors
- CALICE inspired design
- Test beam and simulation studies ongoing



Energy resolution and Granularity





Energy and Granularity

• A central theme in jet calorimetry since the times of the conception of the HERA experiments H1 and ZEUS



"Energy resolution is everything!"



Particle Flow Calorimetry







Energy and Granularity

• A central theme in jet calorimetry since the times of the conception of the HERA experiments H1 and ZEUS







"Energy resolution is everything!" "Granularity is everything!"

Particle Flow Calorimetry

Felix Sefkow Tokyo, March 11, 2016



Particle flow performance

- Separating the energy depositions of M.Thomson, Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A611 (2009) 25-40
 requires high granularity
- Calorimeter resolution still does matter
 - dominates for jets up to ~ 100 GeV
 - contributes to resolve confusion







Pattern recognition based on topology **and** energy

ii) Neutral Hadrons



Failure to resolve neutral hadron



iii) Fragments



Reconstruct fragment as separate neutral hadron



Initial choices

- Analogue:
- 3cm x 3cm at ~ 3cm sampling pitch
- corresponds to Molière radius and X₀; hadron shower sub-structure scale
- small effect on plain energy response and resolution, only via threshold
- more direct effects when software compensation methods are applied
- Digital:
- 1cm x 1cm at ~ 3cm sampling pitch
- to limit saturation effects
- affects single particle linearity and resolution directly







Felix Sefkow





Particle Flow Calorimetry









ilC

Particle Flow Calorimetry













ilc







AHCAL and SDHCAL

- Scint and gas prototypes differ in medium, cell size and read-out scheme
- All of them affect single hadron and jet energy resolution
- Disentangle with validated simulations, and optimise, incl. s/w comp









AHCAL and SDHCAL

- Scint and gas prototypes differ in medium, cell size and read-out scheme
- All of them affect single hadron and jet energy resolution
- Disentangle with validated simulations, and optimise, incl. s/w comp







Software compensation

- Electromagnetic showers: higher density, larger response
- Software compensation: weight has according to cell energy



- Optimal weights depend on hit energy (density) and total energy
 - use un-weighted energy as first estimator





Analogue and digital w

entries -

 10^{6}

10⁵

 10^{4}

 10^{3}

10²

1

- Analogue: $E_{rec,SC} = \sum_{i} \omega_{SC,i} \cdot E_{i}$ $\omega = \omega(E_{i}, E_{tot})$
- Semi-digital: $E_{rec,semi-digital} = \alpha \cdot N_1 + \beta \cdot N_2 + \gamma \cdot N_3$ a = c
- Counting is equivalent to weighting with $1/E_{hit}$: $\omega = \alpha/E_1$
- Use common formalism and learn from each other





(Semi-) digital reconstruction of AHCAL

- Digital reconstruction:
 - 3x3 is too coarse
- Semi-digital
 - better than analoge
 - with less information?
- Count hits: suppression of Landau fluctuations
- Semi-digital reconstruction uses energy-dependent weights
- Software compensation with full energy information gives best results (for 3x3cm²)





Simulate smaller granularities

- Simulate with same degree of realism as in AHCAL test beam
 - except noise (not an issue with present SiMs)
 - and adjust threshold in order to obtain similar linearity
- Apply digital and (reoptimised) semi-digital reconstruction
- For 1x1cm² case, semi-digital (2-bit) information is sufficient
- With full analogue information, 1x1 not better than 3x3







Simulate smaller granularities

- Simulate with same degree of realism as in AHCAL test beam
 - except noise (not an issue with present SiMs)
 - and adjust threshold in order to obtain similar linearity
- Apply digital and (reoptimised) semi-digital reconstruction
- For 1x1cm² case, semi-digital (2-bit) information is sufficient
- With full analogue information, 1x1 not better than 3x3







ilC

Comparison with data



- Differences between gas and scintillator to be understood
 - validated simulations on their way

Particle Flow Calorimetry

Felix Sefkow Tokyo, M



s/w compensation and PFLOW

- Jet energy resolution is the goal
- In principle can benefit in two-fold way:
 - improve resolution for neutral objects
 - improve cluster energy estimators for track-cluster association -



studies with Pandora PFA



s/w compensation and PFLOW

- Jet energy resolution is the goal
- In principle can benefit in two-fold way:
 - improve resolution for neutral objects
 - improve cluster energy estimators for track-cluster association -

studies with Pandora PFA



pensation and PFLOW





pensation and PFLOW





Granularity and resolution 2



ilC



ilC

Granularity and resolution 2



Particle Flow Calorimetry



Conclusion

- Calorimetry has changed particle flow concept established experimentally
- Bearing fruit beyond LC community
- Still test beam results coming in and deepening our understanding
- Now fully in second phase: make it realistic
 - German groups (DESY, Hamburg, Heidelberg, Mainz, Munich MPI, Wuppertal) build a scalable prototype with fully integrated electronics
- There are many open issues = room for new ideas

Back-up slides



Frontiers

- Technology frontier
 - 10 years progress in SiMs
 - 1 glass RPCs, THGEMs, resistive μ Ms
- Integration frontier
 - electronics integration, low power
 - scalable solutions for DAQ and services
- Industrialisation frontier
 - design simplifications
 - mass production and QA schemes
- Calibration frontier
 - monitoring and correction procedures
- Simulation frontier
 - model μ , e, π showers in gaseous HCAL: low and high density
- Reconstruction frontier
 - threshold weights, software compensation
- Algorithm frontier
 - understand relative importance of active medium, granularity and r/o scheme
 - develop second, independent algorithm
- Hadron collider frontier
 - ··· Particle Flow Calorimetry



will read 2 segments. 96 layers, 250k channels







Si wafer glueing robot

RPC gas distribution

200

AHCAL data concentrator

Im

SiPM and tile test stand

Syst

5**6**

outlet

111



Industrialisation: Numbers!

- The AHCAL
- 60 sub-modules
- 3000 layers
- 10,000 slabs
- 60,000 HBUs
- 200'000 ASICs
- 8,000,000 tiles and SiPMs



- One year
- 46 weeks
- 230 days



• 2000 hours



• 100,000 minutes

• 7,000,000 seconds



Directions in tile and SiPM R&D

- Revise tile design in view of automatic pick & place procedures
- Consider SMD approach, originally proposed by NIU
- Light yield becomes an issue again
 - build on advances in SiPMs
- Very different assembly, QC and characterisation chain



7608 ch physics prototype









Mainz



MPI





Shower simulation in Geant 4

- Low energy: cascade models
- High energy: partonic models





Electromagnetic fraction

- π^0 production irreversible; "one way street"
 - $\Pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ produce em shower, no further hadronic interaction
 - Remaining hadrons undergo further interactions, more π^0
 - Em fraction increases with energy, $f = 1 E^{m-1}$
- Response non-linear: signal ~ f * e + (1-f) * h
- Numerical example for copper
 - 10 GeV: f = 0.38; 9 charged h, 3 π^0
 - 100 GeV: f = 0.59; 58 charged h, 19 π^0
 - Cf em shower: 100's e⁺, 1000's e⁻, millions γ
- Large fluctuations
 - E.g. charge exchange $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 n$ (prb 1%) gives $f_{em} = 100\%$





Compensation

Different strategies, which can also be combined

- Hardware compensation
 - Reduce em response
 - High Z, soft photons
 - Increase had response
 - Neutron part (correlated with binding energy loss)
 - Tunable via thickness of hydrogenous detector
 - Example ZEUS: uranium scintillator,
 - 35% / \sqrt{E} for hadrons, 45% / \sqrt{E} for jets
- Software compensation
 - Identify em hot spots and down-weight
 - Requires high 3D segmentation
 - Example H1, Pb/Fe LAr, ~ 50% / \sqrt{E} for hadrons

NB: Does not remove fluctuations in invisible energy



ZEUS



More fluctuations: leakage





Granularity optimisation

- Based of Pandora PFA
- Large radius and B field drive the cost
- Both ECAL and HCAL segmentation of the order of X₀
 - longitudinal: resolution
 - transverse: separation
- Cost optimisation to be done



Containment – use of Tail Catcher

- Tail catcher gives us information about tails of hadronic showers.
- Use ECAL+HCAL+TCMT to emulate the effect of coil by omitting layers in software, assuming shower after coil can be sampled.
- Significant improvement in resolution, especially at higher energies.



2012_JINST_7_P04015



rxiv: 1 5 σ ω accepted Ο

ECFA detector R&D Panel

Analysis Results

The homogeneity of the detector and its readout electronics were studied



Power-Pulsing mode was tested in a magnetic field of 3 Tesla



The Power-Pulsing mode was applied on a GRPC in a 3 Tesla field at H2-CERN (2ms every 10ms) No effect on the detector performance





Calibration and simulation

- Main difficulty is that the DHCAL is not digital
- Response in number of hits depends on gas gain and thus on many factors
 - T, p, thickness, purity, rate, local occupancy
 - calibration & monitoring not simple
- May be mitigated for other technologies with <m> ~ 1.0
 - μM, GEM, 1-glass RPC to be seen
- Semi-digital readout helps
 - but environmental dependence aggravated for higher thresholds
- For the use of analoge information the (semi-) digital read-out lacks redundancy for calibration & monitoring
 - concepts to be developed
- Simulation non-trivial either
 - dense environments, shielding effects,...



Felix Sefkow Freiburg, 6. Juli 2016