Testing Dark Energy: From Cosmology to the Laboratory

Clare Burrage University of Nottingham

Outline:

Dark energy and fifth forces Screened fifth forces How to search for screening



University of Nottingham UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

LEVERHULME TRUST _____

Testing the Equivalence Principle

Do large objects and small objects fall at the same rate?



Old idea

Galileo

Dark Energy?

Image credit: Theresa Knott

Dark Energy



The Cosmological Constant Problem

Vacuum fluctuations of standard model fields generate a large cosmological constant-like term

Expected:

 $\rho^{vac} \sim M^4$

Observed: $\rho_{\Lambda} \sim (10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4$

Phase transitions in the early universe also induce large changes in the vacuum energy

Such a large hierarchy is not protected in a quantum theory

Why Introduce Light Scalar Fields?

A new type of matter eg dark energy

- Quintessence directly introduces new fields
- New, light (fundamental or emergent) scalars

A modification of gravity

- General Relativity is the unique interacting theory of a Lorentz invariant, massless, helicity-2 particle Papapetrou (1948). Weinberg (1965).
- New physics in the gravitational sector will introduce new degrees of freedom, typically Lorentz scalars

Dark matter could also be a light scalar

Yukawa Fifth Forces

A long range Yukawa fifth force is excluded to a high degree of precision in the solar system



Adelberger et al. 2009

Is the New Physics Linear?



General relativity is a non-linear theory

Higgs scalar has a non-linear potential



Image credits: NASA, John Ellis (1312.5672)

Screening Mechanisms

 $V(r) = -\frac{G\alpha m_1 m_2}{r} e^{-m_{\phi} r}$

Locally weak coupling

Symmetron and varying dilaton models

Pietroni (2005). Olive, Pospelov (2008). Hinterbichler, Khoury (2010). Brax et al. (2011).

• Locally large mass Chameleon models

Khoury, Weltman (2004).

Locally large kinetic coefficient Vainshtein mechanism, Galileon and k-mouflage models

Vainshtein (1972). Nicolis, Rattazzi, Trincherini (2008). Babichev, Deffayet, Ziour (2009).

Screening Phenomenology

 $V(r) = -\frac{G\alpha m_1 m_2}{r} e^{-m_{\phi} r}$

Change the dependence on distance

- Vainshtein screening

Change the way in which matter sources the scalar field

- thin-shell effect



5th force sourced by whole object

Large objects are screened from the 5th force

The Chameleon



A scalar field with canonical kinetic terms, non-linear potential, and direct coupling to matter

$$S_{\phi} = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - V(\phi) - A(\phi) \rho_{\rm m} \right)$$
$$V(\phi) = \frac{\Lambda^5}{\phi}, \quad A(\phi) = \frac{\phi}{M} ,$$

Khoury, Weltman. (2004). Image credit: Nanosanchez Equivalent description as Higgs portal model: CB, Copeland, Millington, Spannowsky. (2018)

Varying Mass

Dynamics governed by an effective potential

$$V_{\rm eff} = \frac{\Lambda^5}{\phi} + \frac{\phi}{M}\rho$$

Non-linearities in the potential mean that the mass of the field depends on the local energy density



Chameleon Screening

The increased mass makes it hard for the chameleon field to adjust its value



The chameleon potential well around 'large' objects is shallower than for canonical light scalar fields

CB, Copeland, Stevenson. (2015)

The Scalar Potential

Around a static, spherically symmetric source of constant density

$$\phi = \phi_{\rm bg} - \lambda_A \frac{1}{4\pi R_A} \frac{M_A}{M} \frac{R_A}{r} e^{-m_{\rm bg}r}$$

$$\lambda_A = \begin{cases} 1 , & \rho_A R_A^2 < 3M\phi_{\rm bg} \\ 1 - \frac{S^3}{R_A^3} \approx 4\pi R_A \frac{M}{M_A} \phi_{\rm bg} , & \rho_A R_A^2 > 3M\phi_{\rm bg} \end{cases}$$

This determines how 'screened' an object is from the chameleon field

Ideal experiments use unscreened test masses e.g. atomic nuclei, neutrons, microspheres

Testing the Equivalence Principle

Do large objects and small objects fall at the same rate?



Old idea

Galileo

Dark Energy?

Image credit: Theresa Knott

Tests on Galactic Scales

Different components of a dwarf galaxy may fall in a gravitational field at different rates

- Stars are screened, gas and dark matter are not
- Look for gas-star offsets & warping of galactic discs





Hui, Nicolis, Stubbs. (2009). Jain, VanderPlas. (2011) Desmond, Ferreira, Lavaux, Jasche. (2018) Desmond, Ferreira. (2020)

Tests on Galactic Scales

Different components of a dwarf galaxy may fall in a gravitational field at different rates

- Stars are screened, gas and dark matter are not
- Look for gas-star offsets & warping of galactic discs



Hui, Nicolis, Stubbs. (2009). Jain, VanderPlas. (2011) Desmond, Ferreira, Lavaux, Jasche. (2018) Desmond, Ferreira. (2020)

Laboratory Searches – EP violation



Rider, Moore, Blakemore, Louis, Lu, Gratta. (2016) Ivanov, Hollwieser, Jenke, Wellenzohen, Abele (2013)

For a review see CB & Sakstein (2017). Brax, Casas, Desmond, Elder. (2022) ¹⁷

Laboratory Searches – Short Range Forces



Upadhye (2012). Kapner, Cook, Adelberger, Gundlach, Heckel, Hoyle, Swanson. (2006) Brax, van de Bruck, Davis, Mota, Shaw (2007) Elder, Vardanyan, Akrami, Brax, Davis, Decca (2019) **For a review** see CB & Sakstein (2017). Brax, Casas, Desmond, Elder. (2022)¹⁸

Why Atom Interferometry?

In a spherical vacuum chamber, radius 10 cm, pressure 10⁻¹⁰ Torr

Atoms are unscreened above black lines (dashed = caesium, dotted = lithium)



CB, Copeland, Hinds. (2015)

Atom Interferometry

An interferometer where the wave is made of atoms

Atoms can be moved around by absorption of laser photons



Photon Momentum = k Atom in ground state



Atom in excited state with velocity = V

Atom Interferometry



Probability measured in excited state at output

$$P = \cos^2\left(\frac{kaT^2}{2}\right)$$

Atom Interferometry for Chameleons

The walls of the vacuum chamber screen out any external chameleon forces

Macroscopic spherical mass, produces chameleon potential felt by cloud of atoms



Sabulsky, Dutta, Hinds, Elder, CB, Copeland. arXiv:1812.08244

Berkley Experiment

Using an existing set up with an optical cavity, looking for a signal on top of the Earth's magnetic field Anomalous acceleration = 11 ± 24 nm s⁻²



Jaffe, Haslinger, Xu, Hamilton, Upadhye, Elder, Khoury, Müller. (2017) Elder, Khoury, Haslinger, Jaffe, Müller, Hamilton. (2016)

Imperial Experiment



Imperial Experiment

Dedicated chameleon experiment, insensitive to the Earth's gravitational field

Anomalous acceleration = -77 ± 201 nm s⁻²



Combined Constraints



Brax, Casas, Desmond, Elder. (2022)

Summary

Explanations for dark energy and other modifications of gravity often introduce new scalar fields

Corresponding long range forces are not seen

Screening mechanisms (non-linearities) hide these forces from fifth force searches

• Can still be detected in suitably designed experiments and observations

The Atomic Wavefunction

The probability of measuring atoms in the unexcited state at the output of the interferometer is a function of the wave function phase difference along the two paths

$$P \propto \cos^2\left(\frac{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2}{2}\right)$$

For freely falling atoms the contribution of each path has a phase proportional to the classical action

$$\theta[x(t)] = C e^{(i/\hbar)S[x(t)]}$$

Additional contributions from interactions with photons, proportional to $\frac{(i/\hbar)(\omega t - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})}{(i/\hbar)(\omega t - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})}$

Combined Chameleon Constraints

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\Lambda^5}{\phi}$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}\phi^4$$



CB, Sakstein. (2017)