Supersymmetry at the \mathcal{LHC}

M. Margarete Mühlleitner ITP (Karlsruhe)

> Seminar Freiburg 8 July 2015



\mathcal{O} utline

 \diamond Introduction

- ♦ SUSY Particle Production
- ♦ Light Stop Search
- ◊ NMSSM Higgs Bosons
- \diamond Conclusions

$\mathcal{I}ntroduction$

Why Beyond Standard Model (BSM) Physics?

Standard Model: incomplete picture of the universe

- How explain the values of the free parameters of the Standard Model (SM)?
- Common origin of all three forces of the SM?
- How to incorporte gravity?
- Candidate for Dark Matter (DM)?



• ...

Unification of the Coupling Constants in the SM and the minimal MSSM



Why Beyond Standard Model (BSM) Physics?

Standard Model: incomplete picture of the universe

- How explain the values of the free parameters of the Standard Model (SM)?
- Common origin of all three forces of the SM?
- How to incorporte gravity?
- Candidate for Dark Matter (DM)?

Dark Matter 26.8% Ordinary Matter 4.9% Dark Energy 68.3%

• ...

*S*upersymmetry

Possible answers from: Supersymmetry

 $\mathsf{Fermions} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Bosons}$

Price: doubling of the particle spectrum



\mathcal{S} upersymmetry - \mathcal{M} otivation

(i) Relates bosons \leftrightarrow fermions:

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} Q|F>=|B>\\ Q|B>=|F> \end{array} \right\} 1 \text{ multiplet}$$

(ii) Maximal symmetry of the S-matrix:

Coleman-Mandula theorem:Bosonic operators cannot extend the Poincaré algebra.Fermionic operators: $Q \sim \text{spin } \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \text{graded Lie-algebra}$

(iii) Hierarchy problem:

assume Standard Model validity:

ELW scale $v\sim 10^2~{\rm GeV}-{\rm GUT}$ scale $M_{GUT}\sim 10^{16}~{\rm GeV}$

$\mathcal{R}adiative \ \mathcal{C}orrections \ \mathcal{T}o \ \mathcal{H}iggs \ \mathcal{B}oson \ \mathcal{M}ass$



• Corrections from scalar fermion superpartner



$\mathcal{C}ancellation \ of \ \mathcal{Q}uadratic \ \mathcal{D}ivergences$

• Quadratic divergences are cancelled if

d.o.f SUSY particles = # d.o.f. SM particles

 $\lambda_f = \lambda_{\tilde{f}}$

• Corrections completely cancelled if

$$m_f = m_{\tilde{f}}$$

• Soft SUSY breaking: so far no SUSY particles have been discovered ~---

SUSY particles are heavier than SM particles \rightsquigarrow soft SUSY breaking: $m_f \neq m_{\tilde{f}}$ and $\lambda_f = \lambda_{\tilde{f}}$

- \Rightarrow Higgs mass corrections logarithmically divergent
- \Rightarrow For SUSY mass scale $M_{SUSY} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ no new finetuning
- \Rightarrow TeV scale SUSY solution to hierarchy problem

\mathcal{S} upersymmetry - \mathcal{M} otivation

(iv) Higgs mechanism generated via radiative corrections (for $m_t \sim 100...200$ GeV)

(v) Unification of ELM + weak + strong couplings

(vi) Cold Dark Matter (CDM) If SUSY particles assigned conserved multiplicative quantum number,

R-parity = +1 SM, = -1 SUSY, then

SUSY particles prod. pairwise in SM collisions lightest SUSY particle stable: CDM candidate

(vii) Local SUSY: enforces gravity

\mathcal{S} upersymmetry - \mathcal{M} otivation

Amaldi, de Boer, Fürstenau



Unification of the Coupling Constants in the SM and the minimal MSSM

$\mathcal{M}inimal \ \mathcal{S}upersymmetric \ \mathcal{S}tandard \ \mathcal{M}odel \ (\mathcal{MSSM})$

Low-energy Supersymmetry:

1.) Doubling of the particle spectrum

- 2.) Equal coupling constants in the fermionic \sim bosonic couplings
- 3.) $m_{SM} \sim \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV}) \Rightarrow m_{\phi} \equiv \tilde{m} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$???

The SM alone cannot be formulated as SUSY theory \Rightarrow

 $\mathsf{SUSY} ext{-Standard Model} = SM \otimes SUSY(N = 1)$

minimal particle content

 \rightarrow Doubling of the particle spectrum: SM+SUSY partner

• Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)

most economic supersymmetric extension based on $SU(3)\times SU(2)_L\times U(1)_Y$

MSSM Higgs sector – supersymmetry & anomaly free theory \Rightarrow 2 complex Higgs doublets







 $M_A \sim M_H \sim M_{H^\pm} \gtrsim v$ $M_h \rightarrow$ max. value, $\tan \beta$ fixed; h becomes SM-like



Decoupling limit:

 $M_A \sim M_H \sim M_{H^\pm} \gtrsim v$ $M_h \rightarrow$ max. value, $\tan \beta$ fixed; h becomes SM-like

Modified couplings with respect to the SM: (decoupling limit Gunion, Haber)

Φ	$g_{\Phi u ar u}$	$g_{\phi d ar d}$	$g_{\Phi VV}$
h	$c_{\alpha}/s_{\beta} \rightarrow 1$	$-s_{\alpha}/c_{\beta} \rightarrow 1$	$s_{\beta-lpha} \rightarrow 1$
H	$s_{lpha}/s_{eta} ightarrow 1/\mathrm{tg}eta$	$c_{lpha}/c_{eta} ightarrow \mathrm{tg}eta$	$c_{eta-lpha} ightarrow 0$
A	$1/{ m tg}eta$	$\mathrm{tg}eta$	0



$\mathcal{MSSM} \ \mathcal{P}\text{article} \ \mathcal{C}\text{ontent}$

Names	Gauge Eigenstates	Mass Eigenstates
Higgs bosons	$H_d = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^{0*} \\ -H_d^- \end{pmatrix}, \ H_u = \begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}$	h, H, A, H^{\pm}
Squarks	${ ilde u}_L, { ilde u}_R, { ilde d}_L, { ilde d}_R$	same
	$\widetilde{c}_L, \widetilde{c}_R, \widetilde{s}_L, \widetilde{s}_R$	same
	$ ilde{t}_L, ilde{t}_R, ilde{b}_L, ilde{b}_R$	$ ilde{t}_1, ilde{t}_2, ilde{b}_1, ilde{b}_2$
Sleptons	$ ilde{e}_L, ilde{e}_R, ilde{ u}_e$	same
	$ ilde{\mu}_L, ilde{\mu}_R, ilde{ u}_\mu$	same
	$ ilde{ au}_L, ilde{ au}_R, ilde{ u}_ au$	$ ilde{ au}_1, ilde{ au}_2, ilde{ u}_ au$
Neutralinos	$ ilde{B}^0, ilde{W}^0, ilde{H}^0_d, ilde{H}^0_u$	$ ilde{\chi}^0_1, ilde{\chi}^0_2, ilde{\chi}^0_3, ilde{\chi}^0_4$
Charginos	$ ilde W^\pm, ilde H^+_u, ilde H^d$	$ ilde{\chi}_1^\pm, ilde{\chi}_2^\pm$
Gluino	$ ilde{g}$	same

 $\mathcal{S}\mathsf{USY}\ \mathcal{P}\mathsf{article}\ \mathcal{P}\mathsf{roduction}$

R-Parity: multiplicative quantum numbers (prevents proton decay)

$$R = (-1)^{3B+L+2S} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{SM particle} \\ -1 & \text{SUSY partner} \end{cases}$$

R - parity =	+1	for SM particles	\Rightarrow	• SUSY particle production in pairs
=	-1	for SUSY partners		 lightest SUSY particle LSP stable

Assume R-parity conservation in the following

• Hadron Collider:

Large production cross sections for moderate squark/gluino masses through strong interactions in $pp/p\bar{p}$ collisions

Through cascade decays + 3 classes of SUSY pair production processes:

(i) Strongly interacting particle pairs



Higher Order Corrections

• Status

- NLO QCD [Beenakker eal; Berger eal]; included in PROSPINO [Beenakker eal]
- NLO EW [Bornhauser eal; Hollik eal; Beccaria eal; Mirabella; Arhrib eal; Germer eal]
- NLL, NNLL [Kulesza, Motyka; Langenfeld, Moch+Pfoh; Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn; Beenakker eal;]
 [Kauth eal; Falgari, Schwinn, Wever; Pfoh; Broggio eal]
- NLO+NLL implemented in NLL-Fast [Kulesza, Motyka; Beenakker eal]

• NLO QCD implementation in PROSPINO

- squark masses assumed to be degenerate
- various subchannels not treated individually
- (differential) K-factors assumed to be flat

• NLO QCD for generic MSSM spectra

[Hollik eal; Goncalves-Netto eal; Gavin, Hangst, Krämer, MMM, Pellen, Popenda, Spira '13, '14]

${\cal H}igher \; {\cal O}rder \; {\cal C}orrections$

• Status

- NLO QCD [Beenakker eal; Berger eal]; included in PROSPINO [Beenakker eal]
- NLO EW [Bornhauser eal; Hollik eal; Beccaria eal; Mirabella; Arhrib eal; Germer eal]
- NLL, NNLL [Kulesza, Motyka; Langenfeld, Moch+Pfoh; Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn; Beenakker eal;]
 [Kauth eal; Falgari, Schwinn, Wever; Pfoh; Broggio eal]
- NLO+NLL implemented in NLL-Fast [Kulesza, Motyka; Beenakker eal]
- NLO QCD implementation in PROSPINO
 - squark masses assumed to be degenerate various subchannels not treated individually
 - (differential) K-factors assumed to be flat
- NLO QCD for generic MSSM spectra $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{(*)}$ production

[Gavin, Hangst, Krämer, MMM, Pellen, Popenda, Spira '13, '14]

- w/o any assumptions on mass spectra
- decay $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q + \tilde{\chi}^0_1$ added
- matched with parton showers in the POWHEG-BOX

$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}ifferential} \ \mathit{K}\text{-}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}actors} \ on \ the \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}roduction} \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}evel}$



Gavin, Hangst, Krämer, MMM, Pellen, Popenda, Spira

- $m_{\tilde{q}} pprox 1.8$ TeV, $m_{\tilde{g}} = 1.6$ TeV, $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV
- differential $K\mbox{-}{\rm factor}$ varies in a range of 40%
- NLO corrections can change shape of distributions
- full NLO distributions should be taken into account
- implemented in POWHEG Box Frixione,Nason,Oleari

M.M.Mühlleitner, 8 July 2015, Freiburg

• SUSY searches in 2-jet events by ATLAS ('A-loose'):

[ATLAS-CONF-2013-047]

• Method for theoretical predictions used by ATLAS:

* production at LO (CTEQ6L1) rescaled with K-factor from PROSPINO

* multiplied with NLO branching ratios calculated by SDECAY

* decays + showering performed by HERWIG++ and PYTHIA

q̃q	Ργτηία	HERWIG++
Full NLO	0.883 fb	0.895 fb
ATLAS	0.855 fb	0.858 fb

ą̃ą*	Ρυτηία	HERWIG++
Full NLO	0.0797 fb	0.0807 fb
ATLAS	0.0664 fb	0.0667 fb

→ not in all cases sufficient to use approximate approach applied by ATLAS

$\mathcal{W}eakly \ \mathcal{I}nteracting \ \mathcal{P}articles$

(ii) Weakly interacting particle pairs

Signatures:

$$\begin{split} l & \to l \tilde{\chi}_1^0 : \qquad pp \to l^+ l^- + E_T^{miss} \\ \tilde{\chi}_2^0 & \to l^+ l^- \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \quad \text{etc.} : pp \to l^+ l^+ l^- l^- + E_T^{miss} \quad \text{etc.} \end{split}$$

(iii) Associated production

 \tilde{l}

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: Feb 2015

MSUGRACHISSM 0 2 6 MM Non 2 20 GMV 12 TW muleritik 12 TW muleritik 14 000000000000000000000000000000000000		Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	∫ <i>L dt</i> [fb	¹] Mass limit	Reference
Bit Control C		MSUGBA/CMSSM	0	2-6 iets	Vac	20.3	\tilde{a}, \tilde{a} 17 TeV $m(\tilde{a})=m(\tilde{a})$	1405 7875
No. 1 No. 1 No. 2 233 223 (28.9) No. 2001 No. 20	earches		0	2-6 jets	Voc	20.0	11 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1405 7875
Bit Construction		$qq, q \rightarrow q\lambda_1$ $\tilde{a} \tilde{a} \sim a \tilde{\chi}^0$ (compressed)	υ 1 γ	0-1 iet	Ves	20.3	\tilde{a} 250 GeV $m(x_1) = 0$ (a) $m(x_1) = 0$ (b) $m(x_2) = 0$ (c) $m(x_1) = 0$ (c) $m(x_2) = 0$ (c) $m(x_2)$	1411 1559
Deg 0 (1) Deg 0 (1) <thdeg 0<br="">(1) <thdeg 0<br="">(1) <th< td=""><td>$\tilde{a}\tilde{a}$ $\tilde{a} \rightarrow a\tilde{a}\tilde{\chi}^{0}$</td><td>0</td><td>2-6 jets</td><td>Yes</td><td>20.3</td><td>$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$</td><td>1405 7875</td></th<></thdeg></thdeg>		$\tilde{a}\tilde{a}$ $\tilde{a} \rightarrow a\tilde{a}\tilde{\chi}^{0}$	0	2-6 jets	Yes	20.3	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}$	1405 7875
Bit Starter S		$gg, g \rightarrow qq\chi_1$ $\tilde{a}\tilde{a}, \tilde{a} \rightarrow aa\tilde{Y}^{\pm} \rightarrow aaW^{\pm}\tilde{Y}^0$	1 <i>е.ц</i>	3-6 jets	Yes	20	\tilde{r}	1501 03555
Org Org <thorg< th=""> <thorg< th=""> <thorg< th=""></thorg<></thorg<></thorg<>		$gg, g \rightarrow qq\chi_1 \rightarrow qqW \chi_1$	2 e u	0-3 jets	-	20	δ 112 TeV m(x1)/500 GeV (m(x))+m(y)) φ 132 TeV m(x1)/500 GeV (m(x))+m(y))	1501.03555
Cold Cold <t< td=""><td>U)</td><td>$gg, g \rightarrow qq(u(I)v)v_1$ $GMSB(\tilde{\ell} NISP)$</td><td>$1_{-2} \neq 1_{-1} \ell$</td><td>0-2 jets</td><td>Voc</td><td>20 3</td><td></td><td>1407.0603</td></t<>	U)	$gg, g \rightarrow qq(u(I)v)v_1$ $GMSB(\tilde{\ell} NISP)$	$1_{-2} \neq 1_{-1} \ell$	0-2 jets	Voc	20 3		1407.0603
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	sive	GGM (bino NI SP)	1-2 (+ 0-1 τ 2 ν	-	Ves	20.0		ATLAS-CONE-2014-001
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ins	GGM (wino NLSP)	$\frac{1}{2}$	-	Voc	1.8		ATLAS-CONF-2012-144
Cold Miggain 0.5P 2 (2)	2 L	GGM (biggsing-bing NLSP)	ν	1 6	Voc	4.0		1211 1167
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		GGM (higgsino NI SP)	2au(7)	0-3 iote	Vee	4.0 5.9	8 300 GeV III(x1)>220 GeV 7 COD CoV m(N)>200 GeV	ATLAS CONE 2012 152
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Gravitina LSP	$\Sigma e, \mu (\Sigma)$	mono-iet	Vee	20.2		1502 01519
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0	mono-jet	Tes	20.3	r''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	1502.01518
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	d.'	$\tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.1	\tilde{g} 1.25 TeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ <400 GeV	1407.0600
$ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + 1$	ge	$\tilde{g} \rightarrow t t \chi_1^{\circ}$	0	7-10 jets	Yes	20.3	g 1.1 TeV m(χ ₁) <350 GeV	1308.1841
$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$	S T	$\tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \chi_1^{\circ}$	$0-1 \ e, \mu$	3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.1	<u>g 1.34 TeV</u> m(χ [*] ₁)<400 GeV	1407.0600
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<u> (1) (1)</u>	$\tilde{g} \rightarrow b t \tilde{\chi}_1^+$	0-1 <i>e</i> , μ	3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.1	\tilde{g} 1.3 TeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) < 300 \text{ GeV}$	1407.0600
$ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{0}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{0}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{1}{\sqrt{1}} \underbrace{1}{1$	s L	$\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.1	$ ilde{m{b}}_1$ 100-620 GeV m($ ilde{\chi}_1^0$)<90 GeV	1308.2631
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ti Y	$\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	2 <i>e</i> , µ (SS)	0-3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.3	\tilde{b}_1 275-440 GeV $m(\tilde{x}_1^*)=2 m(\tilde{x}_1^0)$	1404.2500
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	nc	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	1-2 <i>e</i> , μ	1-2 <i>b</i>	Yes	4.7	\tilde{i}_1 110-167 GeV 230-460 GeV m $(\tilde{k}_1^+) = 2m(\tilde{k}_1^0), m(\tilde{k}_1^0) = 55$ GeV	1209.2102, 1407.0583
$ \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-et}_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{$	sd	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, µ	0-2 jets	Yes	20.3	<i>ĩ</i> 1 90-191 GeV 215-530 GeV m(<i>ξ</i> ⁰ ₁)=1 GeV	1403.4853, 1412.4742
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	n.	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ	1-2 <i>b</i>	Yes	20	\tilde{t}_1 210-640 GeV $m(\tilde{x}_1^0)$ =1 GeV	1407.0583,1406.1122
$ \frac{1}{26}, \frac{1}{60}, \frac{1}{61}, \frac{1}{10}, 1$	ge	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 m	nono-jet/c-t	tag Yes	20.3	\tilde{t}_1 90-240 GeV $m(\tilde{t}_1)-m(\tilde{t}_1^0)<85$ GeV	1407.0608
$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{Z}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{9}{\sqrt{2}} $	rd lire	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1$ (natural GMSB)	2 e, µ (Z)	1 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.3	\tilde{t}_1 150-580 GeV m(\tilde{t}_1^0)>150 GeV	1403.5222
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}$	ωa	$\tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 + Z$	3 e, µ (Z)	1 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.3	$ ilde{t}_2$ 290-600 GeV m($ ilde{\chi}_1^0$)<200 GeV	1403.5222
$ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \frac{1}{1$		$\tilde{\ell}_{1} p \tilde{\ell}_{1} p, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	2 e, µ	0	Yes	20.3	ι̃ 90-325 GeV m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=0 GeV	1403.5294
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}\nu(\ell\tilde{\nu})$	2 e, µ	0	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_{+}$ 140-465 GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}^{0}_{+})=0$ GeV, $m(\tilde{\chi}^{0}_{+})=0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_{+})+m(\tilde{\chi}^{0}_{+}))$	1403.5294
$\begin{split} & \text{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}^{2} - \lambda_{11}^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{11}^{2}} (r_{11}, r_{11}, r_{11})^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{11}^{2}} (r_{11}, r_{11}, r_{11})^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{11}^{2}} (r_{11}, r_{11}, r_{11})^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{11}^{2}} (r_{11}, $	÷	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}\nu(\tau\tilde{\nu})$	2 τ	-	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}$ 100-350 GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}^{0}) = 0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}^{0}) = 0$ (m($\tilde{\chi}^{0}) = 0$	1407.0350
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	N De	$\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}_{1}\tilde{\chi}^{0}_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{1} \chi \tilde{\ell}_{1} \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu) \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_{1} \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu)$	3 e, µ	0	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{X}^{\pm}, \tilde{X}^{0}_{0}$ 700 GeV $m(\tilde{X}^{\pm}_{1}) = m(\tilde{X}^{0}_{1}) = 0$ $m(\tilde{Z}^{\pm}_{2}) = 0$ $m(\tilde{X}^{\pm}_{1}) = m(\tilde{X}^{0}_{1})$	1402.7029
$\frac{1}{k_{1}^{2}}\frac{1}{4}, W_{1}^{2}(k_{1}^{2}), h \rightarrow b\bar{b}/WW/r\tau/\gamma\gamma} (e, \mu, \gamma) (e, \mu, \gamma$	비방	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \tilde{Z} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	2-3 е. и	0-2 iets	Yes	20.3	$m(r_1) = m(r_2), m(r_1) = m(r_2), m(r_1) = m(r_2), m(r_1) = m(r_2)$	1403.5294, 1402.7029
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\chi_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h \rightarrow h\bar{h}/WW/\tau\tau/$	e, μ, γ	0-2 h	Yes	20.3	$m(r_1) - m(r_2), m(r_1) - 0$ steptons decoupled $m(r_1) - m(r_2), m(r_1) - 0$ steptons decoupled $m(r_1) - 0$ steptons decoupled	1501.07110
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} = 7 \text{ TeV} + 3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac$		$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ $\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}$ $\rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{\mathrm{P}}f$	4 e.μ	0	Yes	20.3	$\pi_{1}^{(1)} = 100 \text{ GeV}$ $\pi_{1}^{(2)} = \pi_{1}^{(2)} \pi_{1}^{(2)} = \pi_{1}^{(2)} = \pi_{1}^{(2)} \pi_{1}^{(2)} = \pi_{1}^{(2)} $	1405.5086
Direct $\chi_1 \chi_1$ prod., long-lived χ_1 biskpp. trk 1 jet ves 20.3 χ_1^* 270 GeV Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron 0 1-5 jets Ves 27.9 \tilde{g} 832 GeV m(χ_1^0)=100 GeV, 10 $\mu_{S} < \tau(\tilde{g}) < 100 \text{ s}$ 1310.8675 Stable \tilde{g} R-hadron 1tk - 191 \tilde{g} g			Discuss tal					1010 0075
Stable, stopped g H-hadron 0 1-5 jets yes 2/3 g 822 GeV $m(t_1)=100 \text{ GeV}, 10 \mu \text{scr}(\tilde{g})<1000 \text{ s}$ 1310.6844 Stable, stopped g H-hadron trk - 19.1 \tilde{g}	σ.	Direct $\chi_1 \chi_1$ prod., long-lived χ_1	Disapp. trk	i jet	Yes	20.3	χ_1^{-1} 270 GeV $m(\chi_1^{-1})=160$ MeV, $\tau(\chi_1^{-1})=0.2$ ns	1310.3675
For the property of the prope	ve les	Stable, Stopped g n-Hadron	0	T-5 jets	res	27.9	g 832 GeV $m(\chi_1)=100 \text{ GeV}, 10 \mu\text{s} < \tau(\tilde{g}) < 1000 \text{ s}$	1310.6584
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	j-li tic		trk	-	-	19.1		1411.6795
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ar	GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \chi_1^\circ \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e)$	$,\mu)$ 1-2 μ	-	-	19.1	X 537 GeV 10<87/500	1411.6795
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 7	GMSB, $\chi_1^* \rightarrow \gamma G$, long-lived χ_1^*	∠γ 1 alianaliantu	-	Yes	20.3	χ_1 435 GeV $2 < \tau(\chi_1^2) < 3$ ns, SPS8 model	1409.5542
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \chi_1^{\circ} \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	1 μ , displ. vtx	-	-	20.3	\hat{q} 1.0 TeV 1.5 < $c\tau$ <156 mm, BR(μ)=1, m(χ_1°)=108 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$LFV\ pp {\rightarrow} \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} {\rightarrow} e + \mu$	2 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i>	-	-	4.6	\tilde{v}_{τ} 1.61 TeV λ'_{311} =0.10, λ_{132} =0.05	1212.1272
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$LFV \ pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau$	$1 e, \mu + \tau$	-	-	4.6	$\frac{1.1 \text{ TeV}}{\lambda_{311}^2 = 0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33} = 0.05}$	1212.1272
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>	Bilinear RPV CMSSM	2 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i> (SS)	0-3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.3	\tilde{q}, \tilde{g} 1.35 TeV $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g}), c\tau_{LSP} < 1 \text{ mm}$	1404.2500
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \to W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to e e \tilde{\nu}_{\mu}, e \mu \tilde{\nu}_e$	4 e, µ	-	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\rm m}$ 750 GeV m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)>0.2×m($\tilde{\chi}_1^+$), $\lambda_{121} \neq 0$	1405.5086
$ \frac{\tilde{g} \rightarrow qqq}{\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{l}_{1} t, \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow bs} = 0 6-7 \text{ jets} -20.3 \tilde{g} 916 \text{ GeV} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{l}_{1} t, \tilde{l}_{1} \rightarrow bs 2e, \mu (SS) 0.3 b Yes 20.3 \tilde{g} 850 \text{ GeV} BR(t) = BR(b) = BR(c) = 0\% ATLAS-CONF-2013-09 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1404.250 1501.01325 $	4	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{\nu}_e, e \tau \tilde{\nu}_\tau$	$3 e, \mu + \tau$	-	Yes	20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 450 GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) > 0.2 \times m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}), \lambda_{133} \neq 0$	1405.5086
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		$\tilde{g} \rightarrow qqq$	0	6-7 jets	-	20.3	ğ 916 GeV BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0%	ATLAS-CONF-2013-091
OtherScalar charm, $\tilde{c} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_1^0$ 02 cYes20.3 \tilde{c} 490 GeV $m(\tilde{x}_1^0) < 200 \text{ GeV}$ 1501.01325 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ 10^{-1} 1Mass scale [TeV]		$\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 t, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow bs$	2 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i> (SS)	0-3 <i>b</i>	Yes	20.3	<i>ğ</i> 850 GeV	1404.250
$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ $\sqrt{s} = 10^{-1}$ 1 Mass scale [TeV]	Other	Scalar charm, $\tilde{c} \rightarrow c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	2 c	Yes	20.3	$ ilde{c}$ 490 GeV m($ ilde{\chi}_1^0$)<200 GeV	1501.01325
		$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$	$\sqrt{s} =$	8 TeV	1	⁻¹ 1 Mass scale [TeV]	,

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

 $\mathcal{L}\mathsf{ight}\ \mathcal{S}\mathsf{top}\ \mathcal{S}\mathsf{earch}$

- Stops $\tilde{t}_{1,2}$
- * mass of light CP-even Higgs boson depends sensitively on stop sector $(m_{ ilde{t}_{1,2}},A_t)$
- * large top Yukawa couplings \rightsquigarrow large mass splitting \rightsquigarrow light \tilde{t}_1
- * light stop arises naturally from renormalization group running
- * light stop favoured by baryogenesis

${\mathcal S} tops$ at the ${\mathcal L} {\mathcal H} {\mathcal C}$



- This talk:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{light stop decays with}\\ m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_t\\ \text{- Possible decays:}\\ \text{if } m_{\tilde{t}_1} < m_W + m_b + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}\text{:}\\ &* \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow (c/u)\tilde{\chi}_1^0\\ &* \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^0 f \bar{f}'\\ \text{if } m_{\tilde{t}_1} > m_W + m_b + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}\text{:}\\ &* \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow (c/u)\tilde{\chi}_1^0\\ &* \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^0 W\end{array}$

$\mathcal{F}lavour \ \mathcal{C}hanging \ \mathcal{L}ight \ \mathcal{S}top \ \mathcal{D}ecay$

ullet FCNC decay $ilde{t}_1
ightarrow c + ilde{\chi}_1^0$



$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}\text{lavour}\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}\text{roblem}$

• Precision measurements in flavour physics

- * in agreement with predictions of the Standard Model (SM)
- * observed flavour violation can be described by SM Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix
- \Rightarrow New Physics (NP) contributions to Flavour Violation strongly constrained

• Minimal Supersymmetric Extension of the SM (MSSM)

in principle many new flavour violating sources

- \Rightarrow New Physics Flavour Problem
- Minimal Flavour Violation (MFV) provides solution, agrees with precision measurements
 - $\ast\,$ sources of flavour and CP violation given by SM structure of the Yukawa couplings $\Rightarrow\,$
 - $\ast\,$ flavour mixing in NP models governed by CKM matrix $\Rightarrow\,$
 - * no flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC) at tree level at $\mu=\mu_{MFV}$

$\mathcal{F}lavour \ \mathcal{C}hanging \ \mathcal{L}ight \ \mathcal{S}top \ \mathcal{D}ecay$

- Status $ilde{t}_1
 ightarrow c + ilde{\chi}_1^0$
- * One-loop decay assuming vanishing $c/u \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{t}_1$ tree-level coupling
 - leading log contributions [Hikasa,Kobayashi]
 - non-logarithmic contributions [MMM,Popenda]
- * One-loop SUSY-QCD correction to $\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow (c/u)\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with non-vanishing $c/u - \tilde{\chi}_1^0 - \tilde{t}_1$ tree-level coupling [Grober,MMM,Popenda,Wlotzka]

$$K = \frac{\Gamma_{\rm NLO}}{\Gamma_{\rm LO}} \approx 1.07...1.26$$

${\cal F}$ our- ${\cal B}$ ody ${\cal D}$ ecay $ilde{u}_1 o ilde{\chi}_1^0 d_i f ar{f}'$

- Status $ilde{u}_1
 ightarrow ilde{\chi}_1^0 d_i f ar{f'}$ ($ilde{u}_1$ dominantly top-like)
- * Four-body decays with vanishing FCNC tree-level couplings [Boehm,Djouadi,Mambrini]
- * Computation of $\tilde{u}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 d_i f \bar{f}'$

with $d_i = b, s, d$ and

 $f, f' = b, s, d, c, u, \tau, \mu, e, \nu_{\tau}, \nu_{\mu}, \nu_{e}$

with non-vanishing FCNC tree-level couplings

- full dependence on masses of third generation fermions
- implemented in SUSY-HIT [Djouadi, MMM, Spira]



[Grober, MMM, Popenda, Wlotzka]

${\mathcal T}$ hree- ${\mathcal B}$ ody ${\mathcal D}$ ecay $ilde{u}_1 o d_i W ilde{\chi}_1^0$

- Relevant above *W*-boson threshold
- Status $\tilde{u}_1 \rightarrow d_i W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$: (\tilde{u}_1 dominantly top-like)
- * On-shell production with vanishing FCNC tree-level couplings [Porod,Wohrmann;Djouadi,Mambrini]

${\mathcal T}$ hree- ${\mathcal B}$ ody ${\mathcal D}$ ecay $ilde{u}_1 o d_i W ilde{\chi}_1^0$



[Grober, MMM, Popenda, Wlotzka]

- * Extension by including also **non-vanishing** FCNC tree-level couplings
- * Transition region between 3- and 4-body decays:
- W boson width included in 4-body decay: overall-factor scheme (gauge-independent)

$$\Pi_{W}\text{-propagators}\;\frac{p_W^2-m_W^2}{p_W^2-m_W^2+im_W\Gamma_W}$$

- in SUSY-HIT: $\tilde{u}_1 \to d_i W \tilde{\chi}^0_1 ~{\rm w}/$ full flavour structure

for $m_{ ilde{u}_1} - m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_W + 30$ GeV 4-body decays are calculated

\mathcal{S} can over \mathcal{P} arameter \mathcal{S} pace

- * Spectrum generated with SPHENO
- * Light stop decays implemented in SUSY-HIT

Check of compatibility:

- * Higgs results: checked with HiggsBounds and HiggsSignals for Higgs branching ratios HDECAY
 [Djout]
- * Relic density $\Omega_c h^2 < 0.12$ [Planck] with SuperIsoRelic
- * Some B flavour observables with <code>SuperIsoRelic</code>
- * Masses of sparticles chosen to evade LHC exclusion limits

[Bechtle eal] [Djouadi,Kalinowski,MMM,Spira]

[Arbey, Mahmoudi]

[Porod,Staub]

M.M.Mühlleitner, 8 July 2015, Freiburg

$\mathcal{L}ight \; \mathcal{S}top \; \mathcal{D}ecay \; \mathcal{W}idths$



Grober, MMM, Popenda, Wlotzka



Grober, MMM, Popenda, Wlotzka

- U(2) flavour model: $\tilde{u}_1 \to c \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ can still be dominant above W threshold



Grober, MMM, Popenda, Wlotzka

- Assuming BRs=1 not always justified \rightsquigarrow weaker excl. limits; see also ATLAS/1506.08616

$\mathcal{B}ig\ \mathcal{Q}uestions$ - $\mathcal{B}ig\ \mathcal{I}deas$

- ♦ What is the mechanism beyond EWSB? Weak or strong dynamics?
- ♦ Huge Higgs mass corrections finetuning?
- ♦ Do the gauge couplings unify?
- ♦ Incorporation of gravity?
- ◇ Puzzling spectrum of fermion masses and mixings
- ♦ What is the nature of Dark Matter?
- ◊ Origin of matter-antimatter asymmetry?
- ◊ New sources of CP violation?

DISAPPOINTMENT DON'T STAY YOO LOWOT

No Observation of Physics Beyond the SM so Far! Supersymmetry

Compositeness

Extra Dimensions

Extended Higgs Sectors

Top Partner W'/Z'

Minimal Dark Matter

Hidden Sector ...

M.M.Mühlleitner, 8 July 2015, Freiburg

٥ ...

- Naturalness: Just around the corner!
- Experimental reality: No Beyond the Standard Model Physics discovered so far!

Where is $\mathcal{N}ew \mathcal{P}hysics?$

- Naturalness: Just around the corner!
- Experimental reality: No Beyond the Standard Model Physics discovered so far!

But: Discovery of new scalar particle 4th July 2012



Where is $\mathcal{N}ew \mathcal{P}hysics$?

- Naturalness: Just around the corner!
- Experimental reality: No Beyond the Standard Model Physics discovered so far!

But: Discovery of new scalar particle 4th July 2012



 $\mathcal W$ hat can we learn from $\mathcal H$ iggs $\mathcal P$ hysics in the $\mathcal F$ uture?

- \mathscr{B} Is it *the* Standard Model *Higgs* boson?
- $\ensuremath{\mathcal{B}}$ Is it the harbinger of New Physics?
- * SUSY effects on Higgs Physics
 - extended Higgs sector \rightsquigarrow more Higgs bosons to be discovered with different CP properties
 - SUSY particles → modification of loop induced Higgs couplings, of higher order corrections
 ⇒ change of production and decay rates
 - change of Higgs couplings through mixing effects
 ⇒ change of production and decay rates
 - Higgs boson decays into other lighter Higgs bosons or lighter SUSY particles
 - CP violation in tree-level Higgs sector possible

\mathcal{N} MSSM \mathcal{H} iggs \mathcal{S} ector

Emsberns

CONDENSED

by Clelia Anchisi

GOD PARTICLE

$\mathcal{I}nterpretation within \mathcal{SUSY}: The \mathcal{NMSSM} \mathcal{H}iggs \mathcal{S}ector$

- Supersymmetric Higgs Sector: SUSY & anomaly-free theory \Rightarrow 2 complex Higgs doublets
- Most economic version: Minimal Supersymmetric Extension of the SM (MSSM):
 - 2 complex Higgs doublets

• Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Extension of the SM: NMSSM

Fayet; Kaul eal; Barbieri eal; Dine eal; Nilles eal; Frere eal; Derendinger eal; Ellis eal; Drees; Ellwanger eal; Savoy; Elliott eal; Gunion eal; Franke eal; Maniatis; Djouadi eal; Mahmoudi eal; ...

- 2 complex Higgs doublets plus one complex singlet field \rightsquigarrow
- Solution of the μ -problem: μ must be of $\mathcal{O}(\text{EWSB scale})$

Kim, Nilles

 μ generated dynamically through the VEV of scalar component of an additional chiral superfield field \hat{S} : $\mu = \lambda \langle S \rangle$ from: $\lambda \hat{S} \hat{H}_u \hat{H}_d$

The \mathcal{NMSSM} $\mathcal{H}iggs$ $\mathcal{S}ector$

• Enlarged Higgs and neutralino sector: 2 complex Higgs doublets \hat{H}_u, \hat{H}_d , 1 complex singlet \hat{S}

7 Higgs bosons: $H_1, H_2, H_3, A_1, A_2, H^+, H^-$ 5 neutralinos: $\tilde{\chi}_i^0$ (i = 1, ..., 5)

• Higgs mass eigenstates:

superpositions of doublet and singlet components \rightsquigarrow the more singlet-like the smaller couplings to SM particles

• Significant changes of Higgs boson phenomenology

- * light Higgses not excluded, Higgs-to-Higgs decays
- * degenerate Higgs bosons around 125 GeV possible
- * very light singlino-like lightest SUSY particle (LSP)
- $* \ \rightsquigarrow \text{ invisible Higgs decays}$
- * tree-level CP violation ...



\mathcal{NMSSM} $\mathcal{H}iggs$ $\mathcal{B}oson$ $\mathcal{M}ass$

- NMSSM Higgs boson masses given in terms of Higgs potential parameters
- Higher order corrections:
 - * important to shift SM-like NMSSM Higgs boson mass to \sim 125 GeV;
 - $\ast~$ Higgs masses enter production cxns and BRs \rightsquigarrow
 - need to be known at highest possible accuracy for proper interpretation of exp results, for distinction of Higgs sectors of different BSM models

\mathcal{NMSSM} $\mathcal{H}iggs$ $\mathcal{B}oson$ $\mathcal{M}ass$

- Status of higher order corrections:
 - * Real NMSSM:
 - leading one-loop [Ellwanger;Elliott eal; Pandita;Ellwanger,Huggonie]
 - ♦ full one-loop in DR scheme [Degrassi,Slavich;Staub eal]
 - ♦ full one-loop in mixed $\overline{\text{DR}}$ -OS scheme [Ender(\rightarrow Walz),Graf,MMM,Rzehak]
 - ♦ $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t \alpha_s + \alpha_b \alpha_s)$ DR w/ zero external momentum [Degrassi, Slavich]
 - ♦ first results beyond this [Goodsell eal]
 - * Complex NMSSM:
 - various one-loop contributions in effective potential approach
 [Ham,Kim,Oh,Son;Ham,Oh,Son;Ham,Jeong,Oh;Funakubo,Tao;Ham,Kim,Oh,Son]
 - ◊ full one-loop & leading two-loop in effective potential approach [Cheung, Hou, Lee, Senaha]
 - ◊ full one-loop in diagrammatic approach [Graf,Grober,MMM,Rzehak,Walz]
 - ♦ $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t \alpha_s)$ mixed DR-OS scheme w/ zero external momentum [MMM,Nhung,Rzehak,Walz]

NMSSM Higgs Boson Mass 2-Loop Corrections



MMM, Nhung, Rzehak, Walz '14

dashed: one-loop, full: two-loop

variation of φ_{A_t} variation of φ_{M_3} variation of φ_{μ}

 $\Delta = |M_{H_{h_u}}^{(n)} - M_{H_{h_u}}^{(n-1)}| / M_{H_{h_u}}^{(n-1)}$ dashed: n = 1, solid: n = 2

difference in $\overline{\text{DR}}$ and OS masses: one-loop: $\mathcal{O}(15 - 25\%)$ two-loop: $\mathcal{O}(\lesssim 1.5\%)$

Scan in the \mathcal{NMSSM} Parameter Space - $\mathcal{M}ass$ Distributions

King, MMM, Nevzorov, Walz



H_i (i=1,2) is the non-SM-like CP-even Higgs boson

 $M_{H_i} \lesssim 115 \text{ GeV} \rightsquigarrow H_1$ non-SM-like; $M_{H_i} \gtrsim 180 \text{ GeV} \rightsquigarrow H_2$ non-SM-like $300 \text{ GeV} \lesssim M_{H_3}, M_{A_2} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$

• Higgs-to-Higgs Decays

$$\sigma(gg \to \phi_i) \times BR(\phi_i \to \phi_j \phi_k) \times BR(\phi_j \to XX) \times BR(\phi_k \to YY)$$

 \triangleright Interesting for heavier ϕ_i discovery if σ_{prod} large enough and BR into lighter Higgs pairs dominates \triangleright For lighter ϕ_j, ϕ_k interesting production if direct prod strongly suppressed due to singlet nature

• Benchmarks for Higgs-to-Higgs Decays

- A) $H_2 = h, H_1 = H_s, \tan\beta$ small, light spectrum $\lesssim 350$ GeV
- B) $H_1 = h, H_2 = H_s, \tan\beta$ small
- C) $H_1 = h, H_3 = H_s, \tan\beta$ large
- D) $H_2 = h$ decays into lighter Higgs pairs

Benchmark $H_1 = h$ and $\tan \beta$ small

B.1 (Point ID Poi2a)	Scenario		
M_h, M_{H_s}, M_H	126.6 GeV	172.0 GeV	316.8 GeV
M_{A_s}, M_A	86.0 GeV	306.7 GeV	
$ aneta,\lambda,\kappa$	1.9	0.662	0.348
$A_{\lambda}, A_{\kappa}, \mu_{eff}$	187.9 GeV	47.0 GeV	156.9 GeV
M_1, M_2, M_3	890 GeV	576 GeV	1219 GeV
A_t, A_b, A_{τ}	1655 GeV	-1097 GeV	-840 GeV
$M_{Q_3}, M_{t_R}, M_{L_3} = M_{\tau_R}$, other SSB parameters	1030, 1054 GeV	530 GeV	2.5 TeV

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{BR}(A_s\to\gamma\gamma)=0.13\;,\quad \mathsf{BR}(H_s\to A_sA_s)=0.22\;,\quad \mathsf{BR}(H\to hH_s)=0.40\\ &\mathsf{BR}(A\to H_sA_s)=0.17\;,\quad \mathsf{BR}(A\to hA_s)=0.055 \end{split}$$

Benchmark $H_1 = h$ and $\tan \beta$ small

B.1 (Point ID Poi2a)	Decay Rates	
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A\to H_sA_s\to A_s+A_sA_s\to 6\gamma)$	0.68 fb	
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to H_sA_s \to A_s + A_sA_s \to bb + 4\gamma)$	13.11 fb	involves
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to H_sA_s \to A_s + A_sA_s \to 4b + \gamma\gamma)$	85.79 fb	$\lambda_{H_sA_sA_s}$
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A\to H_sA_s\to A_s+A_sA_s\to\tau\tau+4\gamma)$	0.89 fb	λ_{HH_sh}
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to H_sA_s \to A_s + A_sA_s \to \tau\tau + bb + \gamma\gamma)$	11.260 fb	$\lambda_{AA_sH_s}$
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A\to H_sA_s\to A_s+A_sA_s\to 4\tau+\gamma\gamma)$	0.40 fb	λ_{AA_sh}
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to hA_s \to \gamma\gamma + b\bar{b})$	33.60 fb	
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to hA_s \to \gamma\gamma + \tau\tau)$	3.50 fb	
$\sigma(ggA)BR(A \to hA_s \to b\bar{b} + b\bar{b})$	210 fb	

Not yet excluded by ATLAS search for scalar diphoton resonances [arXiv:1407.6583]

http://www.itp.kit.edu/~maggie/NMSSMCALC

NMSSMCALC Calculator of One-Loop and O(alpha_t alpha_s) Two-Loop Higgs Mass Corrections and of Higgs Decay Widths in the CP-conserving and the CP-violating NMSSM

The program package NMSSMCALC calculates the one-loop and O(alpha_t alpha_s) corrected Higgs boson masses and the Higgs decay widths and branching ratios within the CP-conserving and the CP-violating NMSSM. The decay calculator is based on an extension of the program HDECAY 6.10 now.

Released by: Julien Baglio, Ramona Gröber, Margarete Mühlleitner, Dao Thi Nhung, Heidi Rzehak, Michael Spira, Juraj Streicher and Kathrin Walz Program: NMSSMCALC version 1.03 (5 January 2015) NEW! Implementation of O(alpha_t alpha_s) mass corrections

When you use this program, please cite the following references:

NMSSMCALC:	Julien Baglio, Ramona Gröber, Margarete Mühlleitner, Dao Thi Nhung, Heidi Rzehak, Michael Spira, Juraj Streicher and Kathrin Walz, in
	<u>Comput. Phys. Commun. 185 (2014) 12</u>
One-Loop Masses:	K. Ender, T. Graf, M. Mühlleitner, H. Rzehak, in Phys. Rev. D85 (2012)075024
	T. Graf, R. Gröber, M. Mühlleitner, H. Rzehak, K. Walz, in JHEP 1210 (2012) 122
O(alpha_t alpha_s) Mass Corrections:	M. Mühlleitner, D.T. Nhung, H. Rzehak, K. Walz, in arXiv:1412.0918
HDECAY:	A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Spira, Comput. Phys. Commun. 108 (1998) 56
An update of HDECAY:	A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, Margarete Muhlleitner, M. Spira, in arXiv:1003.1643

Informations on the Program:

· Short explanations on the program are given here.

M.M.Mühlleitner, 8 July 2015, Freiburg

\mathcal{C} onclusions

 \diamond Squark pair (and squark anti-squark) production and decay at NLO

- \ast matched with parton showers in the POWHEG Box
- * independent treatment of different subchannels important
- * full NLO corrections important: distributions, total rates
- \diamond Light Stop Decays
 - $* \; c/u + \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ with SUSY QCD corrections
 - * $\tilde{\chi}^0_1 d_i f \bar{f}'$ with full dependence on masses of 3rd generation fermions
 - * $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 d_i W$ including off-shell effects

included in SUSY-HIT allowing for flavour-violating couplings

 \ast exact BRs \leadsto weaker exclusion limits

◊ NMSSM Higgs Bosons

- * Higher order corrections to masses and observables \rightsquigarrow precise predictions, distinguish models
- \ast NMSSM Higgs sector compatible with LHC data, has a rich phenomenology
- \ast spectacular and unique signatures possible

$\mathcal{T}\mathsf{hank}\ \mathcal{Y}\mathsf{ou}\ \mathcal{F}\mathsf{or}\ \mathcal{Y}\mathsf{our}\ \mathcal{A}\mathsf{ttention}!$

